Lead Glass Damage

Brad Schaefer Ryan Mitchell

Need For Radiation Hardened Glass(RHG)

- Previous experiments indicate F8 lead glass darkens with radiation dosage
 – RadPhi
- How much is needed?
- Cost and resolution necessitate balance
- Need to simulate damage in FCAL

Outline

- 1: Calculate electromagnetic background within FCAL for standard GLUEX run
- 2: Damage the standard F8 block at IUCF with 20MeV electrons and describe how radiation dosage affects the transmission
- 3: Insert radiation damage model into HDGEANT
- 4: Run simulations to determine the effect on energy resolution of radiation damage of the lead glass

1:Calculating the EM background

FCAL Radiation Dose in z = 627 to 628 cm [(kRad/year)/(beam rate in GHz)]

- Ryan Mitchell's simulation of expected dose in FCAL from electromagnetic background
 - Radiation dose
 in slices of z (along
 length of bars)
 - Units in kRad per year per beam rate (photons from radiator) in GHz

So red is 10kRad per year at 10⁸ photons on target per second



X [cm]

2:Damaging the F8 Block

- Used a Medical Linear Accelerator at IUCF
 - 20MeV electrons at 2 cm X 2 cm section
 - Dosed blocks 200 to 6000 "Monitor Units"
 - (~3kRad to 80kRad in 2cm X 2cm X 2cm volume, needs verification)

Beam Direction





GEANT Monte Carlo 100 0 -225 -220 -215 -210 -205 -200 -195 -190 Position (mm)

Damage Profile

6000 Monitor Units (80kRad)

Analyzing Lead Glass Damage

- Used spectrophotometer to analyze transmission through damaged lead glass section
- Plotted absolute transmission vs. dosage
- Determined a relationship between dosage (damage) and transmission

440 nm used in Simulations because it is close to the Cherenkov wavelength



3:HDGEANT

Currently, HDGEANT uses attenuation length, lambda, to attenuate the energy of a photon that enters the FCAL

$$E_{final} = E_{initial} \cdot exp(-z/\lambda)$$

Where z is the distance from the end of the block

We want to use transmissions, instead of the exponential above, to attenuate the energy

$$T_2 = A \cdot exp(B \cdot E_{MU}) + C$$

The goal is to multiply the transmission successively along the block to calculate the attenuated energy

Both methods provide the same result for no damage 1/21/10

Damage profile



Almost all of the energy is in the first two cm. So we can say T_2 is valid over 2 cm of lead glass

$$T_2 = A \cdot exp(B \cdot E_{MU}) + C$$

Where A, B, and C are coefficients from before (slide 7).

If T_1 is the transmission in one cm then due to the symmetry of the first and second cm, we can say $T_1^2 = T_2$ so:

$$T_1 = \sqrt{A \cdot exp(B \cdot E_{MU})} + C$$

Combining it all together in GEANT

- Use Ryan's simulation to determine damage in 2cm X 2cm X 1cm volume for various FCAL radii
- With equation

$$T_1 = \sqrt{A \cdot exp(B \cdot E_{MU}) + C}$$

 Now we can use 1 cm steps along the block to attenuate the energy

$$T = \prod_{i} T_1(E(z_i))$$
 10

4:HDGEANT Simulations

- Ratios of Energy ٠ resolution of damaged to undamaged
 - 1 year of running at 10^8 Hz
 - Various radii of blocks in FCAL

- RHG is

16cm = 36 blocks 20cm = 60 blocks

32cm = 184 blocks



1 Year Dosage 0 to 2 GeV

So the blocks at 16 cm are worse than RHG while the block at 20 is better than RHG after one year



- Different beam durations
- All resolutions are at radius of 32cm on the FCAL
- Varying from 1 year to 5 years
- Attenuation Length curve relates attenuation length method to stepping method for attenuating the energy

So it will take 5 years of running for the glass at 32 cm to have the same energy resolution as RHG





Conclusions

- After one year of running between 16 cm and 20 cm yields the same energy resolution as undamaged radiation hardened glass
- It takes 5 years of running for the radius of 32 cm to be damaged enough to yield the same energy resolution as undamaged radiation hardened glass
- Going to try to damage test the RHG and probably retest F8 at IUCF this spring
- Another goal is to compare our model to previous lead glass papers on radiation damage