## FCAL Update

- Energy resolution in data
- FCAL geometry
- Inefficiencies due to poorly-determined gain constants
- Time slewing and timing resolution



# FCAL Energy Resolution

- Gain balancing done by Adesh (plots on the next page)
  - corrected block size
  - using only photons with 1.0 < E < 1.5 GeV
  - "floor term" still appears too high
- Possible issues:
  - position resolution begins to contribute at high photon energies (η should be better)
  - poor background assumptions in fitting  $\pi^0$  peak
    - many of Adesh's fits used a linear background over a very restricted range — OK for getting peak position but not width
  - other: the resolution just isn't as good as we expected... why?
- May need to resort to different (cleaner) event sample to validate MC resolution

## FCAL Energy Resolution



M. R. Shepherd GlueX Calorimetry Meeting September 7, 2017

U DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington

## **FCAL Energy Resolution**

1.40 < Shower E. [GeV] < 1.50



Ш

Bloomington

September 7, 2017

# FCAL Geometry Updates

- Key change: the unit cell in the FCAL was assumed to be 4.000 cm; in reality "as built" it is 4.016 cm
  - affects both data reconstruction and MC
  - change in <u>DFCALGeometry.cc</u> mandates a change of gain constants as well
  - not committed yet
- MC modifications (all committed?):
  - incorporate change to unit cell size
  - add material for upstream plate and straps
  - add material for plastic light tight cover
  - add light guide sensitive volume (studies with data suggest MIPs that hit the light guide have different energy and timing response)

## Data MC Comparison

- Reconstruct events of the type:
  - $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  where  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
  - select with a kinematic fit that includes  $\pi^0$  mass constraint and 5% cut on the confidence level
- "Tag" showers produced by the  $\pi^0$ decay as true photons
  - use these to study calorimeter performance
  - avoids MIP/splitoff contamination
- Future: relax  $\pi^0$  mass constraint and do data/MC comparison of  $\pi^0$  width



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Bloomington

#### Photon Locations



INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington



#### from ccdb (thanks Mike Staib)



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington M. R. Shepherd GlueX Calorimetry Meeting September 7, 2017

Channel #

### FCAL Gains



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington

Ш

M. R. Shepherd GlueX Calorimetry Meeting September 7, 2017

# **FCAL** Timing

- Current algorithm:
  - create "clusters" in 2D
  - set cluster time to time of most energetic hit
  - create a "shower" from each cluster by translating z-coordinate along flight path to a depth determined by cluster energy
  - apply energy-dependent timing correction to shower (due to effective speed of light in the block)
- Cross check the timing correction with true photons:
  - predicted time = RF time at target center + ( distance to depth-corrected shower center ) / c
  - check existing correction: shower time predicted time
  - derive a new correction: cluster time predicted time

### Timing Corrections (Data)



#### **U** DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington M. R. Shepherd GlueX Calorimetry Meeting September 7, 2017

## Timing Corrections (MC)



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

INDIANA UNIVERSITY College of Arts and Sciences Bloomington

Ш

## Time Resolution (Data)



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Bloomington

College of Arts and Sciences

- Standard algorithm (hollow circles) sets cluster time to time of maximum energy hit
- Improvement (solid circles) can be made by using energy weighted average of all hits in cluster
- Propose to implement this change first, then revise average time correction
- MC resolution has no energy dependence and is about 420 ps

# Summary and Other Notes

- Energy resolution: emphasis has been on understanding it in data
  - are the techniques for measuring it sound? why is the floor term so large?
  - degrading resolution in MC is relatively straightforward
- Hit (block) efficiency:
  - in addition to dead channels from LED runs may have effectively dead channels due to poorly determined gain constants
- Geometry:
  - would like to correct/examine gain constants for revised geometry
  - push changes to ccdb and block size simultaneously
  - long term: restructure FCAL geometry class
- Timing:
  - improve time resolution by using energy-weighted time
  - implement new energy-dependent time correction