Very preliminary tracking efficiency estimates Simon Taylor / JLab

- Paul Mattione created a plugin that makes a tree for studying tracking efficiency: src/plugins/Utilities/trackeff_missing
 - One missing particle:
 - pπ⁺(π⁻), p(π⁺)π⁻, (p)π⁺π⁻
 - pπ⁺π⁻π⁺(π⁻), pπ⁺π⁻(π⁺)π⁻, (p)π⁺π⁻π⁺π⁻, ...
 - Macros exist to deal with accidentals and side-band subtraction of background contributions.

I will show some results with some simple cuts – Alex A. is working on using Paul's more elaborate scheme...







Data sample and fit quality

- Kinematic fits to $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^+(\pi^-)$
- Beam energy: 3-11.6 GeV
- Tagger accidental subtraction: 2 side peaks
- No neutral particles

TMath::Prob(KinFitChiSq,KinFitNDF) {fabs(BeamRFDeltaT)<2.}





Will apply CL>0.1 cut on following slides



Predicted/reconstructed matching for π^{-}

 Match between predicted and reconstructed track based on variances from results of kinematic fit for missing particle, converted to CL = "ReconMatchFOM"
At most 1 extra track



P3 Phip-MissingP3.Ph.(*1807U.art :P (UV usingP3 Mag); 3xbe/Dean/RFDetaTy-2.887Matr: Prob/6.6712155e,KinFeNDF) =8 184ReconMace/PGM.c0.001



ReconMatchFOM {fabs(BeamRFDeltaT)<2.&&TMath::Prob(KinFitChiSq,KinFitNDF)>0.1}



Differences for ReconMatchFOM>0.001

(RecorP3 Mag)-MaxingP3 Mag)(MaxingP3 Mag)(MaxingP3 Mag)(htsplater RF0aba1), 2 did TVarb: Prob(GrFeChSq,KirFihDF)-0.128 Records achF04b-0.0(i)





Efficiency for π^-

Require ReconMatchFOM>0.001

θ~9.5°







Data sample and fit quality for protons

- Kinematic fits to $yp \rightarrow (p)\pi^+\pi^-$
- Beam energy: 3-11.6 GeV
- Tagger accidental subtraction: 2 side peaks
- No neutral particles

TMath::Prob(KinFitChiSq,KinFitNDF) {fabs(BeamRFDeltaT)<2.}





Will apply CL>0.1 cut on following slides



Efficiency for protons

Require ReconMatchFOM>0.001

θ~59.5°



