BCAL: Matching M.C. Resolution to 2006 Beam Test

David Lawrence JLab Oct. 24, 2011

Motivation

Controversial plots shown at previous meeting indicating 5%-6% floor terms in energy resolution



• Plots of BCAL energy resolution shown last week raised flags due to inconsistency with previous results, including those from the 2006 Beam Test shown below.

Plot from published results of 2006 Beam Test indicating energy resolution



Figure 5.7: Energy resolution vs. E_{BEAM} for photons for $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ and z = 0 cm. The fit gives $\sigma_E/E = 5.4\%/\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \oplus 2.3\%$. The fit of Fig. 5.6 corresponds to the 40^{th} datum from the right (19th from the left) in this figure.

Matching 2006 Beam Test conditions



Energy: 150MeV – 650MeV Angle: 90° Position: center of module

Physical size and shape of prototype module was different from trapezoidal shape of final module design.

Fiber in prototype produced nearly have as much light (75 photons/MeV/side vs. 145 photons/MeV/side)

Calibration and results





Threshold Dependence



Energy resolution depends on threshold

Values plotted are for near end so actual threshold would be 3.7 times greater for doubled ended readout due to attenuation

For energy resolution plots shown in previous slides, 1MeV threshold (in units of this plot) were used.



The simulation was modified:

- Removed explicit adding of floor term in smearing due to sampling fluctuations
- Reduced fADC threshold so that it no longer matched TDC threshold (~factor of 5)

n.b. Blake's simulation did indicate large floor term in forward direction, possibly due to leakage through downstream end



Time Difference Resolution



Consistent time difference resolution with 2006 Beam Test (with qualifications).

Summary

- Energy Resolution now seems consistent with beam test results
- Time difference seems consistent with beam test results
- GlueX-doc-1854