

#### Determining gain to match shift in slide 1





# Equalized gain 1 vs 2

Ru	n HV	Threshold	Equalized gain	Equal version	Gain Setting	
724	192 1000	100	1	equalizedVer1	0	
724	193 1000	50	1	equalizedVer1	Θ	Compare
724	194 1000	200	1	equalizedVer1	Θ	Compare
724	495 1000	100	1	equalizedVer2	Θ	
72	196 1000	100	Θ	Θ	64	
724	197 900	100	Θ	Θ	64	
724	498 1100	100	Θ	Θ	64	
724	499 1100	100	Θ	Θ	32	
72	500 900	100	Θ	Θ	32	
72	501 1000	100	Θ	Θ	32	
72	502 1000	100	Θ	Θ	150	
72	503 900	100	Θ	Θ	150	
72	504 1100	100	Θ	Θ	150	
72	505 1000	100	Θ	Θ	64	
72	506 1000	50	Θ	Θ	64	
72	507 1000	200	Θ	Θ	64	



DIRCPmtHit time-over-threshold vs channel , NorthUpper box LED trigger



DIRCPmtHit time-over-threshold vs channel , NorthUpper box LED trigger



Relative efficiency = (LED hits / LED trigger)<sub>EQ V2</sub> / (LED hits / LED trigger)<sub>EQ V1</sub>



S	72495
---	-------



# Equalized v1 vs v2



### Single pixel 3000



69455

45.95

1.848

5.554

5.511

1400

1200

1000

800 -

600

400

200

# Overall resolution over all channels



# Conclusion

- Equalized gain V2 is as good as Equalized gain V1
  - No dramatic change in efficiency
  - Compensates for the swapped electronics stack in the NB
    - Allows hardware changes to be made without having to go back to the laser bench test, and provides a check of the gain as determined by fitting the ADC spectrum.
- Equalized gain V2 is data ready based on the timing resolution
- Propose to collect production data with the new gains to compare Cherenkov photon yield